



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/239,907		01/29/1999	ANDREW MACCORMACK	858063.435	6683	
500	7590	03/25/2003				
		UAL PROPERT	EXAMINER			
SUITE 6300	701 FIFTH AVE SUITE 6300 BELIVEAU, SCOTT E				, SCOTT E	
SEATTLE, '	WA 9810	14-7092		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		

2614 DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			. 📤	ζ,
		Application No.	licant(s)	
Advisory Action		09/239,907	MACCORMACK ET AL	••
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Scott Beliveau	2614	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	SS
Therefinal recondition	EPLY FILED 03 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Tore, further action by the applicant is required to avjection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 on for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application λ	ation. A proper reply to he places the application	o a n in
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) [The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	*		
b) <u>⊠</u>	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.	
fee have fee unde (2) as se	ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of 27 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 28 torth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main	ount of the fee. The approprioriginally set in the final Off	riate extension ice action; or
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	<u>-</u>		
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	•	
(a)	☐ they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simp	lifying the
(d)	they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
	NOTE:			
3. 🗌 A	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):	·	
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed am	nendment
	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>see</u>		dered but does NOT p	lace the
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were n	ewly
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	* - ·		l an
-	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		•	
	Claim(s) allowed:			
	Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examine	r.
9. 🔲 1	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		

10. Other: ____



Art Unit: 2614

ADVISORY ACTION

Specification

1. The substitute specification filed 3 March 2003 has been entered. The objection noted in the prior Advisory Action of 11 February 2003 is withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 3 March 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As to applicant's remarks seeking to differentiate between the Dokic et al. and the instant invention with respect to "different area of PID memory is accessed" such a differentiation/limitation is not explicitly set forth in the claim 1. Claim 1 merely claims a "memory", but does not explicitly differentiate that a "different area of PID memory is accessed". One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that a buffer is a form of memory which stores data temporarily (IEEE 100: The authoritative dictionary of IEEE standards terms 7th edition).

As to applicant's remarks concerning "control information", the claimed language merely requires that "control information" is something that is "associated with the packet identifier". The examiner, subsequently, does not necessarily concede that the packet payload of a program table does not meet the recited limitation. However, it is further noted that the packet header may further comprise "control information" in the form of timing information (PCR) used in the decoding of the payload. The packet buffers [200/202] or "memory" are disclosed to store the entire transport packet comprising "control information

Application/Control Number: 09/239,907

Art Unit: 2614

associated with the packet identifier" (Col 7, Lines 66-67 – Col 8, Lines 1-4). The reference goes on to suggest that either the "entire packet" or the payload may be forwarded from the "memory" (Col 9, Lines 39-43). The claim language is not limiting such that the "entire packet" comprising both the identifier and the "control information associated with the identifier" contained within the packet header may be "accessed" and "demultiplexed".

As noted by the applicant, claims 10, 11, and 20 recite similar limitations addressed as aforementioned.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Beliveau whose telephone number is 703-305-4907. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 a.m. - 5:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Miller can be reached on 703-305-4795. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

SEB

March 18, 2003

JOHN MILLER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600